

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
4

5 ROBERT McCONNELL,

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

8 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

9 Respondents.
10

Case No. 3:10-cv-00021-GMN-CSD

**ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 144)**

11
12 In this capital habeas corpus action, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss on
13 May 28, 2021 (ECF No. 122). The petitioner, Robert McConnell, represented by
14 appointed counsel, filed an opposition to the motion to dismiss (ECF No. 128) and a
15 motion for evidentiary hearing (ECF No. 130) on October 18, 2021. After an initial 30-
16 day period, a 58-day extension of time, a 21-day extension of time, a 14-day extension
17 of time, and a 7-day extension of time, Respondents were due to file a reply in support
18 of their motion to dismiss and a response to the motion for evidentiary hearing by
19 February 25, 2022. See Order entered December 4, 2019 (ECF No. 91) (allowing 30
20 days for such filings); Order entered November 7, 2021 (ECF No. 134) (58-day
21 extension); Order entered January 12, 2022 (ECF No. 136) (21-day extension); Order
22 entered February 3, 2022 (ECF No. 139) (14-day extension); Order entered February
23 22, 2022 (ECF No. 143) (7-day extension).

24 On February 25, 2022, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF
25 No. 144), requesting a further 3-day extension, to February 28, 2022. McConnell does
26 not oppose the motion for extension of time. Respondents then filed their reply in
27 support of their motion to dismiss (ECF No. 145) and their response to the motion for
28


1 evidentiary hearing (ECF No. 146) on February 28, 2022, as contemplated in their
2 motion for extension of time.

3 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good
4 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the
5 requested extension of time.

6 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for an Enlargement
7 of Time (ECF No. 144) is **GRANTED**. Respondents' reply in support of their motion to
8 dismiss (ECF No. 145) and their response to the motion for evidentiary hearing (ECF
9 No. 146) will be treated as timely filed.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
11 proceedings set forth in the order entered December 4, 2019 (ECF No. 91) will remain
12 in effect.

13 DATED THIS 3 day of March, 2022.

14
15 
16 _____
17 GLORIA M. NAVARRO
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28